



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION

ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Case No. APIC- 641/2025.

(Summon to appear in person)

(Or.5 R.3 of CPC)

**APPELLANT
RESPONDENT**

: Shri Sengman Rongrang, Changlang.
: The PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Changlang Division,
Changlang District, A.P.

ORDER/ SUMMON

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Sengman Rongrang for non-furnishing of below mentioned information by the PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Changlang Division, Changlang, as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 24.03.2025:

(I) Particulars of Information: Budget Estimate Maintenance of Assets and (M&R, OE & POL up to 2023-25)

Details of information required:

- a. Sanction order copy;
 - b. NIT copy and Newspaper clips of NIT;
 - c. Utilization certificate against the sanction;
 - d. Details of cash book if any;
 - e. Details of firm and name of proprietor used in all transactions and payment made;
 - f. Details of list local entrepreneurs' participants firms name and company name during tender process;
 - g. Details of account transactions records receipts vouchers against the firm till date;
 - h. Details at formation of Bedding and Quotation;
 - i. Details Information of materials procurement list with GST bill paper of the shop where the materials is purchased;
 - j. The materials brand should be same as approved in DPR / sanction order or government or agency;
 - k. Provide GST returns bills certificate during tender process;
 - l. Provide Financially sound certificate from Bank during tender process;
 - m. Provide Trading license certificate;
 - n. Details expenditures of GST payment receipts should be provided under POL;
 - o. Geo-tagging photos and videos of work executing side before and after;
 - p. DPR in English;
 - q. No objection certificate or work completion Certificate from the authority with seal n signature and date of above scheme heads so that applicant can rectify the works, supplies, and procurement of materials is provided/done by the contractor/ and
 - r. Supply order copy under (O, E, M and R)
- (II) Scheme head: "Additional Scheme "B.E and SIDF 2023-24 2nd phase.**
-Provide details information regarding C/O of CC payment road at Changlang township 14 L BE 2024-25;

(III) Schemes head: BE DP-I 2023-24 (2nd phase).

- A) Provide details information regarding Construction of CC payment road along with CC Drain from APST Bus stand toward CK road (TAH) 10 L BE 2024-25;
- B) Provide details information regarding C/O of protection wall at Changlang veterinary firm 16 L Be 2024-25.

Facts emerging from the appeal and decision thereon:

Records revealed that the Appellant did not receive the aforementioned information sought by him from the PIO in view of which he approached the F.A.A, the Chief Engineer (PWD), Eastern Zone Namsai under section 19 (1) of the RTI Act vide his Appeal Memo dated 06.05.2025. Having failed to obtain the documents the Appellant filed his second appeal before this Commission under section 19(3) of the RTI Act vide Memo of appeal dated 19.08.25.

The appeal was, accordingly, listed and heard on 12.11.2025 wherein Er. Shri N. Chiliang, the PIO o/o the EE (PWD), Changlang Division, was present in person but the Appellant, Shri Sengman Rongrang was absent.

This Commission, noticing that the F.A.A, the Chief Engineer (PWD), Eastern Zone, Namsai did not adjudicate on this appeal as mandated under section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005, remanded this appeal to the said First Appellate Authority (FAA) vide this Commission's order dt. 12.11.2025 for adjudication under section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 with liberty to the Appellant to prefer second appeal before this Commission under section 19 (3) of the RTI Act if he felt aggrieved / dissatisfied with the order passed by the F.A.A, or with the information furnished by the PIO.

The appellant, Shri Sengman Rongrang, vide his letter dt. 13.02.26, approached this Commission under section 19(3) of the RTI Act, in terms of liberty granted to him vide this Commission's order dt. 12.11.2025, for consideration of his appeal and for an appropriate direction therein to the PIO on the ground that the FAA did not conduct the hearing. The extract of the appellant's letter is reproduced hereunder:

"Respected sir..

Through your esteemed regard I would like to draw your kind attention in above mentioned subject sir. On Dated 24th Mar 2025 | files my First RTI Form 'A' in the Office of PWD Changlang, Headquarter Against Budget Estimate (BE), maintenance of assets-2024-25 & M&R, OE, & POL up to 2023-25. But due to not furnished the information in time by the concerned department further I files same matter to First and Second appellate. After First Appellate order the Concerned department has shared some document but the information is not in details manner and incomplete, then only I appeal to the Hon'ble court of RTI Itanagar (Second Appellate) for the same matter. Meanwhile, after study my case the Hon'ble court SIC has issues hearing notice for both the party 1, e APIC NO. 641/2025 Memo No. SIC-STB/Daily Cause List 2025/69 Date Itanagar 4th Nov. 2025 which is schedule on 12th Nov. 2025 at 10:30 Am SIC Court room Itanagar. After hearing both the party the Hon'ble Judge has transfer the matter to first Appellate Authority Le Chief Engineer PWD Eastern zone Namsai. On the other hand in respect to the Hon'ble Judge order Chief Engineer (First Appellate) has issue Hearing noticed to both the party, but due to Panchayat election and I being a Candidate GPM from my segment I wrote letter to Chief Engineer for reschedule the date. On my request the Chief Engineer has reschedule the 29th Dec.2025 but in the last minutes of that day due to some official reason Chief Engineer has cancel and reschedule the date on 13th Jan. 2026 and

against Chief Engineer cancel in last moment of that day and till today the reschedule date has not been informed from the Chief Engineer Office PWD Eastern Zone Namsai.

In this above mentioned regard sir, due to negligence of the concerned department I as an applicant lost my precious time and money in this said matter sir, being a common man some time is very difficult to manage the Fooding, lodging, fuel and journey expenses. As of now I even could not effort for the next hearing in such condition lam going through.

Therefore sir, I sincerely plead through you sir, kindly look into the matter and take under consideration in top priority.

*This act of kindness I will remain very great full to you sir,
Enclosed xerox copy of all the relevant documents.*

Your sincerely.

Sd/-

Sengman Rongrang”

In the premises as above this appeal has been listed for hearing today on 20.03.26 wherein the appellatant, Shri Sengman Rongrang is present in person while the PIO is represented by Er. Shri Takse Rika, A.E.

Heard the parties.

The appellatant submitted that the o/o the PIO has furnished replies/information against few queries but he did not properly elaborate the specific points against which the information/replies were not furnished. The AE, on the other hand submitted that the o/o the PIO has furnished whatever information as requested were available in the office. He also brought in some more documents which have been handed over to the appellatant.

The appellatant is directed to go through the documents so furnished today and report the shortcomings, if any, to the PIO within one week from today and while doing so he shall point out specific points against which replies have not been furnished. The PIO shall, then furnish appropriate replies to those points within 1(one) month from the date of receipt of this order. It is, however, made clear that if the o/o the PIO does not hold any of the requested information/documents, then as mandated under section -7(8)(i) of the RTI Act, the reason for denial of the information has to be communicated to the applicant to his satisfaction. And as mandated by section-18(3)(c) of the RTI Act, 2005 and under rule- 5(vi) of the AP Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005, the submission/reply of the PIO has to be declared/supported by way of an affidavit.

The PIO shall comply with the above direction and report compliance thereof to this Commission on 22.04.2026.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 20th March, 2026.

NOW THEREFORE, you are hereby summoned to appear in person or online in the Hon'ble Court of Shri Sangyal Tsering Bappu, **SIC on 22nd April, 2026 (Wednesday) at 10.30 am** to answer the claims, and you are directed to produce on that day all the documents upon which you intend to rely in support of your claims/defense.

Take notice that, in default of your appearance, on the day above- mentioned, the matter will be heard and determined in your absence.

To avail online hearing please at least notify or get in touch one day prior to the hearing, download "**WEBEX MEETING APP**" from Google Play store. For further technical assistance Shri Himanshu Verma, IT Consultant (Mobile no. 8319014957) maybe contacted.

Sd/-

(S. TSERING BAPPU)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC-641/2025 / 1734 Dated Itanagar, the 23 March, 2026

Copy to:

1. The Chief Engineer (PWD), Govt. of A.P, Eastern Zone, Namsai, Namsai District, First Appellate Authority (FAA), for information and ensuring compliance by the PIO concerned.
2. The PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Changlang Division, Changlang District (Pin Code: 792103) for information and compliance.
3. Shri Sengman Rongrang, Sinwang Village, PO/PS- Changlang (Contact No. 7628025939) for information.
4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the website of APIC, please.
5. Office Copy.
6. S/Copy

P. S. S. S. S.
Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar

Deputy Registrar
Assam Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar