



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, APIC
ITANAGAR

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005.
Vide Case No. APIC-190/2026
(Summon to appear in person)

(Or. 5, R.3 of CPC)

Shri Chungma Sangbia -V/S-PIO –Cum- PO, APEDA, Daporijo, U/Subansiri District
.....
To,

Shri Chungma Sangbia,
Upper Niti Vihar, Itanagar,
Papum Pare District, A.P.
M- 7628985507

SUMMON.

The 1st hearing held on 11th June 2026, related to the **APIC No-190/2025(Appeal)**.
The Appellant Shri Chungma Sangbia absent without reporting the reason for his absence.

The PIO-cum Project officer Department of APEDA, Upper Subansiri District Govt
of Arunachal Pradesh, Shri Tadam Jeram, present during the hearing in person.

Heard the PIO.

The PIO stated that the Appellant in this instant case submitted a letter to the my
office on **18/07/2025**, requesting not to release payments of the 3rd Phase against creation of
barrier free environment under SPIDA at 26 Dumporijo (ST) Assembly Constituency in
Upper Subansir District Arunachal Pradesh for 26 School and office building during 2024-
2025.

After the letter was submitted to my office the Appellant submitted his form'A'
seeking the detailed information of the said SPIDA Scheme for 2024-2025 and in receipt
of his letter as well the form'A' I wrote back a letter to him on **08/08/2025**, clarifying the fact
that the office of the PIO had received sanction order from the Director of SJETA on
12/6/2025, for which the TS has been submitted to the Director office APEDA, Itanagar,
for approval.

After receiving the TS from the competent authority the office of the PIO APEDA
Daporijo plotted e-tender on **18/08/2025**, and thereafter the work have commenced and work
is still going on. The point is the form'A' of the Appellant was submitted even before the
technical Sanction (TS) was approved and tender was floated.

It is a fact that while the Appellant submitted his form'A' for information, the works
have not be an executed for which the information was too early, in fact immature to be
provided to the Appellant. And therefore, office of the PIO could not provide the information
to the Appellant in the scheduled time as mandated under RTI Act 2005.

In the absence of the Appellant further examination of the merits of his appeal could not process and therefore, in the interest of justice, in the provision for giving a fair chance to be heard, the Commission adjourn the hearing of this matter today to be heard when the Appellant is present in the next date of hearing.

Under the above facts and recording of the statements of the PIO the Commission hereby order;

- i) To send summons to the Appellant to be present in the next date of hearing without fail, **failing which this appeal will be heard and determined ex-parte.**
- ii) The PIO to be present in the next date of hearing without fail, **failing which necessary action as provided under RTI Act 2005 will be instated against him**
- iii) Send notice for the next date of hearing to the Appellant.

The Commission ordered;

The next date of hearing be fixed on 8th Sept. 2026 at 2:30PM

N.B:- To avail online hearing please, notify or get in touch one day prior to the hearing, by downloading "WEBEX MEETING APP" from Google Play store. For further technical assistance may contact **Shri Himanshu Verma, IT Consultant (Mobile no. 8319014957).**

It is so ordered on this 11th day of June, 2026 at 01:30 PM.

Sd/-
(Vijay Taram)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo. No. APIC-190/A/2026 *11319* **Dated Itanagar, the 15th June, 2026**

Copy to:

1. The Computer Operator for uploading on the website of the APIC, and mail it to the concerned Department please.
2. Office Copy

P. Raji Singh
Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC Itanagar
Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar